arXiv:math/0106086v1 [math.DG] 11 Jun 2001

LIE ALGEBROID FOLIATIONS AND $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-DIRAC STRUCTURES

David Iglesias, Juan C. Marrero

Departamento de Matemática Fundamental, Facultad de Matemáticas,
Universidad de la Laguna, La Laguna,
Tenerife, Canary Islands, SPAIN,
E-mail: diglesia@ull.es, jcmarrer@ull.es

Abstract

We prove some general results about the relation between the 1-cocycles of an arbitrary Lie algebroid A over M and the leaves of the Lie algebroid foliation on M associated with A. Using these results, we show that a $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure L induces on every leaf F of its characteristic foliation a $\mathcal{E}^1(F)$-Dirac structure L_F, which comes from a precontact structure or from a locally conformal presymplectic structure on F. In addition, we prove that a Dirac structure $\tilde{L}$ on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ can be obtained from L and we discuss the relation between the leaves of the characteristic foliations of L and $\tilde{L}$.

MSC (2000): 17B63, 17B66, 53C12, 53D10, 53D17.

Key words and phrases: Lie algebroids, foliations, Dirac structures, Poisson manifolds, Jacobi manifolds, contact structures, locally conformal symplectic structures, presymplectic structures.

1 Introduction

It is well-known the fundamental role that Poisson algebras play in Dirac's theory of constrained Hamiltonian systems [5]. Two natural ways for Poisson algebras to arise from a manifold M are through Poisson or presymplectic structures on M. Both structures are examples of Dirac structures in the sense of Courant-Weinstein [2, 3]. A Dirac structure on a manifold M is a vector sub-bundle $\tilde{L}$ of $TM \oplus T^*M$ that is maximally isotropic under the natural symmetric pairing on $TM \oplus T^*M$ and such that the space of sections of $\tilde{L}$, $\Gamma(\tilde{L})$, is closed under the Courant bracket $[\, ,\,]\tilde{}$ on $\Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$ (see Section 2.3, Example 1). If $\tilde{L}$ is a Dirac structure on M, then $\tilde{L}$ is endowed with a Lie algebroid structure over M and the leaves of the induced Lie algebroid foliation $\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{L}}$ on M are presymplectic manifolds (see [2]). In the particular case when the Dirac structure $\tilde{L}$ comes from a Poisson structure Π on M, then $\tilde{L}$ is isomorphic to the cotangent Lie algebroid associated with Π and $\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{L}}$ is just the symplectic foliation of M (see [2]).

An algebraic treatment of Dirac structures was developed by Dorfman in [6] using the notion of a complex over a Lie algebra. This treatment was applied to the study of general Hamiltonian structures and their role in integrability. More recently, the properties of the Courant bracket $[\,,\,]\tilde{}$ have been systematized by Liu, Weinstein and Xu [19] in the definition of a Courant algebroid structure on a vector bundle $E \to M$ (see also [20, 25]). The natural example of a Courant algebroid is the Whitney sum $E = A \oplus A^*$, where the pair (A, A^*) is a Lie bialgebroid over M in the sense of Mackenzie-Xu [22].

On the other hand, a Jacobi structure on a manifold M is a local Lie algebra structure, in the sense of Kirillov [15], on the space $C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ (see [4, 9, 18]). Apart from Poisson manifolds, interesting examples of Jacobi manifolds are contact and locally conformal symplectic manifolds. In fact, a Jacobi structure on M defines a generalized foliation, the characteristic foliation of M, whose leaves are contact or locally conformal symplectic manifolds [9, 15]. Moreover, the 1-jet bundle $T^*M \times \mathbb{R} \to M$ is a Lie algebroid and the corresponding Lie algebroid foliation is just the characteristic foliation of M (see [14]). However, for a Jacobi manifold M the vector bundle T^*M is not, in general, a Lie algebroid and, in addition, if one considers the usual Lie algebroid structure on $TM \times \mathbb{R}$ then the pair $(TM \times \mathbb{R}, T^*M \times \mathbb{R})$ is not a Lie bialgebroid (see [12, 29]). Thus, it seems reasonable to introduce a proper definition of a Dirac structure on the vector bundle $\mathcal{E}^1(M) = (TM \times \mathbb{R}) \oplus (T^*M \times \mathbb{R})$ (a $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure in our terminology). This job was done by A. Wade in [30]. A $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure is a vector sub-bundle L of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ that is maximally isotropic under the natural symmetric pairing of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ and such that the space $\Gamma(L)$ is closed under a suitable bracket $[\,,\,]$ on $\Gamma(\mathcal{E}^1(M))$ (this bracket may be defined using the general algebraic constructions of Dorfman [6]). Apart from $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structures which come from Dirac structures on M or from Jacobi structures on M, other interesting examples can be obtained from a homogeneous Poisson structure on M, from a 1-form on M (a precontact structure in our terminology) or from a locally conformal presymplectic (l.c.p.) structure, that is, a pair (Ω, ω), where Ω is a 2-form on M, ω is a closed 1-form and $d\Omega = \omega \wedge \Omega$ (see [30]).

If L is a $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure, $[\,,\,]_L$ is the restriction to $\Gamma(L) \times \Gamma(L)$ of the extended Courant bracket $[\,,\,]$ and ρ_L is the restriction to L of the canonical projection $\rho : \mathcal{E}^1(M) \to TM$, then the triple $(L, [\,,\,]_L, \rho_L)$ is a Lie algebroid over M (see [30]). Using the same terminology as in the Jacobi case, the Lie algebroid foliation $\mathcal{F}_L$ on M associated with L is called the characteristic foliation of L. An important remark is that the section ϕ_L of the dual bundle L^* defined by $\phi_L(e) = f$, for $e = (X, f) + (\alpha, g) \in \Gamma(L)$, is a 1-cocycle of the Lie algebroid $(L, [\,,\,]_L, \rho_L)$. Anyway, since $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structures are closely related with Jacobi structures, it is not very surprising the presence of a Lie algebroid and a 1-cocycle in the theory (see [11, 12, 13]).

Several aspects related with the geometry of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structures were investigated by Wade in [30]. However, the nature of the induced structure on the leaves of the characteristic foliation of a $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure L was not discussed in [30]. So, the aim of our paper is to describe such a nature. In addition, we will show that one may obtain, from L, a Dirac structure $\tilde{L}$ on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ in the sense of Courant-Weinstein and we will discuss the relation between the induced structures on the leaves of the characteristic foliations of L and $\tilde{L}$. For the above purposes, we will prove some general results about the relation between the 1-cocycles of an arbitrary Lie algebroid A over M and the leaves of the Lie algebroid foliation on M associated with A. In our opinion, these last results could be of independent interest.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall several definitions and results about $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structures which will be used in the sequel. We also present some examples that were obtained in [30]. In Section 3, we prove that if $(A, [\![\, , \,]\!], \rho)$ is a Lie algebroid over M, ϕ is a 1-cocycle of A and F is a leaf of the Lie algebroid foliation $\mathcal{F}_A$ on M then $S_F^\phi = \emptyset$ or $S_F^\phi = F$, where S_F^ϕ is the subset of F defined by $S_F^\phi = \{x \in F \,/\, ker\,(\rho_{|A_x}) \subseteq < \phi(x) >^\circ\}$ (see Theorem 3.2). Here, A_x is the fiber of A over x and $< \phi(x) >^\circ$ is the annihilator of the subspace of A_x^* generated by $\phi(x)$. On the other hand, the Lie algebroid structure $([\![\, , \,]\!], \rho)$ and the 1-cocycle ϕ induce a Lie algebroid structure $([\![\, , \,]\!]^{-\phi}, \bar{\rho}^\phi)$ on the vector bundle $\bar{A} = A \times \mathbb{R} \to M \times \mathbb{R}$ (see (3.1)). Then, if F and $\bar{F}$ are the leaves of the Lie algebroid foliations $\mathcal{F}_A$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}}$ passing through $x_0 \in M$ and $(x_0, t_0) \in M \times \mathbb{R}$, we obtain, in the two possible cases ($S_F^\phi = \emptyset$ or $S_F^\phi = F$), the relation between F and $\bar{F}$ (see Theorem 3.3). Now, assume that L is a $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure and that F is a leaf of the characteristic foliation $\mathcal{F}_L$. Then, in Section 4, we prove that L induces, in a natural way, a $\mathcal{E}^1(F)$-Dirac structure L_F and, moreover (using the results of Section 3), we describe the nature of L_F. In fact, we obtain that in the case when $S_F^{\phi_L} = \emptyset$, L_F comes from a precontact structure on F and in the case when $S_F^{\phi_L} = F$, L_F comes from a l.c.p. structure on F (see Theorem 4.2). Using this Theorem, we directly deduce the results of Courant [2] about the leaves of the characteristic foliation of a Dirac structure and the results of Kirillov [15] and Guedira-Lichnerowicz [9] about the leaves of the characteristic foliation of a Jacobi structure. We also apply the theorem to the particular case when L comes from a homogeneous Poisson structure and some interesting consequences are derived. Finally, in Section 5, we prove that a Dirac structure $\tilde{L}$ on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ can be obtained from a $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure L in such a way that the Lie algebroid associated with $\tilde{L}$ is isomorphic to the Lie algebroid over $M \times \mathbb{R}$, $(\bar{L} = L \times \mathbb{R}, [\, , \,]_L^{-\phi_L}, \bar{\rho}_L^{\phi_L})$. Thus, if (x_0, t_0) is a point of $M \times \mathbb{R}$, one may consider the leaves F and $\tilde{F}$ of the characteristic foliations of L and $\tilde{L}$ passing through x_0 and (x_0, t_0). Then, using the results of Section 3, we obtain the relation between F and $\tilde{F}$ and, in addition, we describe the presymplectic 2-form on $\tilde{F}$ in terms of the precontact structure on F, when $S_F^{\phi_L} = \emptyset$, or in terms of the l.c.p. structure on F, when $S_F^{\phi_L} = F$ (see Theorem 5.3). As an application, we directly deduce some results of Guedira-Lichnerowicz [9] about the relation between the leaves of the characteristic foliation of a Jacobi structure on M and the leaves of the symplectic foliation of the Poisson structure on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ induced by the Jacobi structure.

2 $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structures

All the manifolds considered in this paper are assumed to be connected and of class C^∞. Moreover, if M is a differentiable manifold, we will denote by $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ the vector bundle $(TM\times\mathbb{R})\oplus(T^*M\times\mathbb{R})\to M$. Note that the space of global sections $\Gamma(\mathcal{E}^1(M))$ of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ can be identified with the direct sum $(\mathfrak{X}(M)\times C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R}))\oplus(\Omega^1(M)\times C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R}))$.

2.1 Definition and characterization of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structures

Along this Section, we will recall the definition of a $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure, which was introduced by A. Wade in [30]. We will also give several results related to this notion.

The natural symmetric and skew-symmetric pairings $<\,,\,>_+$ and $<\,,\,>_-$ on $V\oplus V^*$, V being a real vector space of finite dimension, can be extended, in a natural way, to the Whitney sum $A\oplus A^*$, where $A\to M$ is a real vector bundle over a manifold M. We also denote by $<\,,\,>_+$ and $<\,,\,>_-$ the resultant pairings on $\Gamma(A\oplus A^*)\cong\Gamma(A)\oplus\Gamma(A^*)$. In the particular case when $A=TM\times\mathbb{R}$, the explicit expressions of $<\,,\,>_+$ and $<\,,\,>_-$ on $\Gamma(\mathcal{E}^1(M))$ are

$$\begin{array}{l} <(X_1,f_1)+(\alpha_1,g_1),(X_2,f_2)+(\alpha_2,g_2)>_+=\frac{1}{2}\Big(i_{X_2}\alpha_1+f_2g_1+i_{X_1}\alpha_2+f_1g_2\Big),\\ <(X_1,f_1)+(\alpha_1,g_1),(X_2,f_2)+(\alpha_2,g_2)>_-=\frac{1}{2}\Big(i_{X_2}\alpha_1+f_2g_1-i_{X_1}\alpha_2-f_1g_2\Big),\end{array}\tag{2.1}$$

for $(X_i,f_i)+(\alpha_i,g_i)\in\Gamma(\mathcal{E}^1(M))$, $i\in\{1,2\}$. One may also consider the homomorphism of $C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R})$-modules $\rho:\Gamma(\mathcal{E}^1(M))\to\mathfrak{X}(M)$ defined by

$$\rho((X,f)+(\alpha,g))=X.\tag{2.2}$$

On the other hand, in [30] A. Wade introduced a suitable $\mathbb{R}$-bilinear bracket $[\,,\,]:\Gamma(\mathcal{E}^1(M))\times\Gamma(\mathcal{E}^1(M))\to\Gamma(\mathcal{E}^1(M))$ on the space $\Gamma(\mathcal{E}^1(M))$. This approach is based on an idea that can be found in [6], where the author generalizes the Courant bracket on $\Gamma(TM\oplus T^*M)$ to the case of complexes over Lie algebras. The bracket $[\,,\,]$ is given by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} [(X_1,f_1)+(\alpha_1,g_1),(X_2,f_2)+(\alpha_2,g_2)] &=& \Big([X_1,X_2],X_1(f_2)-X_2(f_1)\Big)\\ && +\Big(\mathcal{L}_{X_1}\alpha_2-\mathcal{L}_{X_2}\alpha_1+\frac{1}{2}d(i_{X_2}\alpha_1-i_{X_1}\alpha_2)\\ && +f_1\alpha_2-f_2\alpha_1+\frac{1}{2}(g_2df_1-g_1df_2-f_1dg_2+f_2dg_1),\\ && X_1(g_2)-X_2(g_1)+\frac{1}{2}(i_{X_2}\alpha_1-i_{X_1}\alpha_2-f_2g_1+f_1g_2)\Big),\end{array}\tag{2.3}$$

for $(X_i,f_i)+(\alpha_i,g_i)\in\Gamma(\mathcal{E}^1(M))$, $i\in\{1,2\}$, where $[\,,\,]$ is the usual Lie bracket of vector fields and $\mathcal{L}$ is the Lie derivative operator on M. This bracket is skew-symmetric and, moreover, we have that

$$[e_1,f\,e_2]=f[e_1,e_2]+\rho(e_1)(f)e_2-<e_1,e_2>_+\Big((0,0)+(df,0)\Big),\tag{2.4}$$

for $e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}^1(M))$ and $f \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$. We note that $[\,,\,]$ is not, in general, a Lie bracket, since the Jacobi identity does not hold (see [30]).

Now, let L be a vector sub-bundle of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ which is isotropic under the symmetric pairing $<\,,\,>_+$. We may consider the map $T_L : \Gamma(L) \times \Gamma(L) \times \Gamma(L) \to C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ given by

$$T_L(e_1, e_2, e_3) = < [e_1, e_2], e_3 >_+, \text{ for } e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(L). \tag{2.5}$$

If $e_i = (X_i, f_i) + (\alpha_i, g_i)$ with $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ then, using (2.1), (2.3), (2.5) and the fact that $< e_i, e_j >_+ = 0$, for $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} T_L(e_1, e_2, e_3) = \; & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{Cycl.(e_1,e_2,e_3)} \Big(i_{[X_1,X_2]}\alpha_3 + g_3(X_1(f_2) - X_2(f_1)) \\ & \qquad + X_3(i_{X_2}\alpha_1 + f_2 g_1) + f_3(i_{X_2}\alpha_1 + f_2 g_1) \Big). \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Thus, from (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6), it follows that T_L is a skew-symmetric $C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$-trilinear map, that is, $T_L \in \Gamma(\wedge^3 L^*)$. Furthermore, using Proposition 3.3 in [30], we obtain that

$$[[e_1, e_2], e_3] + [[e_2, e_3], e_1] + [[e_3, e_1], e_2] = (0, 0) + (dT_L(e_1, e_2, e_3), T_L(e_1, e_2, e_3)), \tag{2.7}$$

for $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(L)$.

Definition 2.1 *[30] A $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure on M is a sub-bundle L of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ which is maximally isotropic under the symmetric pairing $<\,,\,>_+$ and such that $\Gamma(L)$ is closed under $[\,,\,]$.*

It is clear that if L is a $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure on M then the section $T_L \in \Gamma(\wedge^3 L^*)$ vanishes. In fact, we have the following result.

Proposition 2.2 *[30] Let L be a sub-bundle of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ which is maximally isotropic under the symmetric pairing $<\,,\,>_+$. Then, L is a $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure if and only if the section $T_L \in \Gamma(\wedge^3 L^*)$ given by (2.5) vanishes.*

From (2.7) and Proposition 2.2, we conclude that the restriction of $[\,,\,]$ to $\Gamma(L)$ satisfies the Jacobi identity.

2.2 Lie algebroids and the characteristic foliation of a $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure

A *Lie algebroid* A over a manifold M is a vector bundle A over M together with a Lie algebra structure $[\![\,,\,]\!]$ on the space $\Gamma(A)$ and a bundle map $\rho : A \to TM$, called the *anchor map*, such that if we also denote by $\rho : \Gamma(A) \to \mathfrak{X}(M)$ the homomorphism of $C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$-modules induced by the anchor map then:

i) $\rho : (\Gamma(A), \llbracket\, , \rrbracket) \to (\mathfrak{X}(M), [\, ,])$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism and

ii) for all $f \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ and for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(A)$, one has

$$\llbracket X, fY \rrbracket = f\llbracket X, Y \rrbracket + (\rho(X)(f))Y.$$

The triple $(A, \llbracket\, , \rrbracket, \rho)$ is called a Lie algebroid over M (see [21]).

Let $(A, \llbracket\, , \rrbracket, \rho)$ be a Lie algebroid over M. We consider the generalized distribution $\mathcal{F}_A$ on M whose characteristic space at a point $x \in M$ is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_A(x) = \rho(A_x), \tag{2.8}$$

where A_x is the fiber of A over x. Since $\Gamma(A)$ is a finitely generated $C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$-module (see [8]), the distribution $\mathcal{F}_A$ is also finitely generated. Moreover, it is clear that it is involutive. Thus, $\mathcal{F}_A$ defines a generalized foliation on M in the sense of Sussman [26]. $\mathcal{F}_A$ is the *Lie algebroid foliation* on M associated with A.

Remark 2.3 If F is the leaf of $\mathcal{F}_A$ passing through $x \in M$, $dim\, F = r$ and $y \in M$ then $y \in F$ if and only if there exists a continuous piecewise smooth path $\gamma : I \to M$ from x to y, which is tangent to $\mathcal{F}_A$ and such that $dim\, \mathcal{F}_A(\gamma(t)) = r$, for all $t \in I$ (see [16, 28]).

If $(A, \llbracket\, , \rrbracket, \rho)$ is a Lie algebroid over M, one can introduce the *Lie algebroid cohomology complex with trivial coefficients* (for the explicit definition of this complex we remit to [21]). The space of 1-cochains is $\Gamma(A^*)$, where A^* is the dual bundle to A, and a 1-cochain $\phi \in \Gamma(A^*)$ is a 1-cocycle if and only if $\phi\llbracket X, Y \rrbracket = \rho(X)(\phi(Y)) - \rho(Y)(\phi(X))$, for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(A)$.

Now, suppose that M is a differentiable manifold, that $[\, ,]$ is the bracket on $\Gamma(\mathcal{E}^1(M))$ given by (2.3) and that $\rho : \Gamma(\mathcal{E}^1(M)) \to \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is the homomorphism of $C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$-modules defined by (2.2). Assume also that L is a $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure and that ρ_L (respectively, $[\, ,]_L$) is the restriction of ρ (respectively, $[\, ,]$) to $\Gamma(L)$ (respectively, $\Gamma(L) \times \Gamma(L)$). Then, it is clear that the triple $(L, [\, ,]_L, \rho_L)$ is a Lie algebroid over M (see [30] and Section 2.1). Thus, one can consider the Lie algebroid foliation $\mathcal{F}_L$ on M associated with L. $\mathcal{F}_L$ is called the *characteristic foliation of the* $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$*-Dirac structure.*

On the other hand, we may introduce a section ϕ_L of the dual bundle L^* as follows:

$$\phi_L(e) = f, \text{ for } e = (X, f) + (\alpha, g) \in \Gamma(L). \tag{2.9}$$

A direct computation, using (2.2), (2.3) and (2.9), proves that ϕ_L is a 1-cocycle.

2.3 Examples of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structures

Next, we will present some examples of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structures which were obtained in [30]. In addition, we will describe the Lie algebroids, the characteristic foliations and the 1-cocycles associated with these structures.

1.- Dirac structures

Let $\tilde{L}$ be a vector sub-bundle of $TM \oplus T^*M$ and consider the vector sub-bundle L of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ whose sections are

$$\Gamma(L) = \{(X, 0) + (\alpha, f)/X + \alpha \in \Gamma(\tilde{L}), f \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})\}. \tag{2.10}$$

Then, $\tilde{L}$ *is a Dirac structure on* M in the sense of Courant-Weinstein [2, 3] if and only if L is a $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure (see [30]). We recall that a vector sub-bundle $\tilde{L}$ of $TM \oplus T^*M$ is a Dirac structure on M if $\tilde{L}$ is maximally isotropic under the natural symmetric pairing $< , >_+$ on $TM \oplus T^*M$ and, in addition, the space of sections of L, $\Gamma(L)$, is closed under the *Courant bracket* $[\, , \,]\tilde{}$ which is defined by

$$[X_1 + \alpha_1, X_2 + \alpha_2]\tilde{} \;=\; [X_1, X_2] + (\mathcal{L}_{X_1}\alpha_2 - \mathcal{L}_{X_2}\alpha_1 + \tfrac{1}{2}d(i_{X_2}\alpha_1 - i_{X_1}\alpha_2)), \tag{2.11}$$

for $X_1 + \alpha_1, X_2 + \alpha_2 \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \oplus \Omega^1(M) \cong \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$.

If $\tilde{L} \subseteq TM \oplus T^*M$ is a Dirac structure on M then the triple $(\tilde{L}, [\, , \,]\tilde{}_{\tilde{L}}, \tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{L}})$ is a Lie algebroid over M, where $[\, , \,]\tilde{}_{\tilde{L}}$ is the restriction to $\Gamma(\tilde{L}) \times \Gamma(\tilde{L})$ of the Courant bracket given by (2.11) and $\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{L}}$ is the restriction to $\Gamma(\tilde{L})$ of the map $\tilde{\rho} : \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M) \to \mathfrak{X}(M)$ defined by

$$\tilde{\rho}(X + \alpha) = X, \tag{2.12}$$

for all $X + \alpha \in \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$ (see [2]). The *characteristic foliation associated with* $\tilde{L}$ is the Lie algebroid foliation $\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{L}}$. It is clear that $\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{L}}(x) = \mathcal{F}_L(x)$, for all $x \in M$. In addition, from (2.9) and (2.10), it follows that the 1-cocycle ϕ_L identically vanishes.

2.- Locally conformal presymplectic structures

A *locally conformal presymplectic (l.c.p.) structure* on a manifold M is a pair (Ω, ω), where Ω is a 2-form on M, ω is a closed 1-form and $d\Omega = \omega \wedge \Omega$. If (Ω, ω) is a l.c.p. structure on M, one may define the vector sub-bundle $L_{(\Omega,\omega)}$ of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ whose sections are

$$\Gamma(L_{(\Omega,\omega)}) = \{(X, -i_X\omega) + (i_X\Omega + f\,\omega, f)/(X, f) \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \times C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})\}. \tag{2.13}$$

It is clear that the vector bundles $L_{(\Omega,\omega)}$ and $TM \times \mathbb{R}$ are isomorphic. In addition, $L_{(\Omega,\omega)}$ is a $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure [30]. Note that if $\omega = 0$ then Ω is a *presymplectic form* on M. Furthermore, if (Ω, ω) is a l.c.p. structure on a manifold M of even dimension and Ω is a nondegenerate 2-form then (Ω, ω) is a *locally conformal symplectic structure* (see [9, 15, 27]).

Let (Ω, ω) be a l.c.p. structure on a manifold M and $L_{(\Omega,\omega)}$ be the associated $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure. Then, using (2.2), (2.3) and (2.13), we deduce that the Lie algebroids $(L_{(\Omega,\omega)}, [\, , \,]_{L_{(\Omega,\omega)}}, \rho_{L_{(\Omega,\omega)}})$ and $(TM \times \mathbb{R}, [\![\, , \,]\!]_{(\Omega,\omega)}, \rho_{(\Omega,\omega)})$ are isomorphic, where $[\![\, , \,]\!]_{(\Omega,\omega)}$ and $\rho_{(\Omega,\omega)}$ are given by

$$[\![(X, f), (Y, g)]\!]_{(\Omega,\omega)} = ([X, Y], \Omega(X, Y) + (X(g) - g\omega(X)) - (Y(f) - f\omega(Y))),$$

$$\rho_{(\Omega,\omega)}(X, f) = X,$$

for $(X,f),(Y,g) \in \mathfrak{X}(M)\times C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R})$. We remark that the map $\nabla : \mathfrak{X}(M)\times C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R}) \to C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R})$ defined by $\nabla_X f = X(f) - f\omega(X)$, for $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R})$, induces a representation of the Lie algebroid $(TM, [\, ,\,], Id)$ on the trivial vector bundle $M\times\mathbb{R}\to M$ and that Ω is a 2-cocycle of $(TM, [\, ,\,], Id)$ with respect to this representation. In addition, the Lie algebroid $(TM\times\mathbb{R}, [\![\, ,\,]\!]_{(\Omega,\omega)}, \rho_{(\Omega,\omega)})$ is the extension of $(TM, [\, ,\,], Id)$ via ∇ and Ω (for the definition of the extension of a Lie algebroid A with respect to a 2-cocycle and a representation of A on a vector bundle, see [21]). On the other hand, it is clear that $\mathcal{F}_{L_{(\Omega,\omega)}}(x) = T_xM$, for all $x \in M$, and that, under the isomorphism between $L_{(\Omega,\omega)}$ and $TM\times\mathbb{R}$, the 1-cocycle $\phi_{L_{(\Omega,\omega)}}$ is the pair $(-\omega, 0) \in \Omega^1(M)\times C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R}) \cong \Gamma(T^*M\times\mathbb{R})$ (see (2.9) and (2.13)).

3.- Precontact structures

A *precontact structure* on a manifold M is a 1-form η on M. A precontact structure η on M induces a $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure L_η. More precisely, suppose that Φ is a 2-form on M, that η is a 1-form and consider the vector sub-bundle L of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ whose sections are

$$\Gamma(L) = \{(X,f) + (i_X\Phi + f\,\eta, -i_X\eta)/(X,f) \in \mathfrak{X}(M)\times C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R})\}. \tag{2.14}$$

The vector bundles L and $TM\times\mathbb{R}$ are isomorphic. Moreover, L is a $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure if and only if $\Phi = d\eta$ (see [30]). Thus,

$$\Gamma(L_\eta) = \{(X,f) + (i_X d\eta + f\,\eta, -i_X\eta)/(X,f) \in \mathfrak{X}(M)\times C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R})\}. \tag{2.15}$$

Note that a precontact structure η on a manifold M of odd dimension $2n+1$ such that $\eta\wedge(d\eta)^n$ is a volume form is a *contact structure* (see [9, 15, 16, 18]).

Let η be a precontact structure on a manifold M and L_η be the associated $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure. Then, the Lie algebroids $(L_\eta, [\, ,\,]_{L_\eta}, \rho_{L_\eta})$ and $(TM\times\mathbb{R}, [\ ,\ ], \pi)$ are isomorphic, where $\pi : TM\times\mathbb{R}\to TM$ is the canonical projection over the first factor and $[\ ,\ ]$ is the usual Lie bracket on $\Gamma(TM\times\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathfrak{X}(M)\times C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R})$ given by

$$[(X,f),(Y,g)] = ([X,Y], X(g) - Y(f)),$$

for $(X,f),(Y,g) \in \mathfrak{X}(M)\times C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R})$. We also have that $\mathcal{F}_{L_\eta}(x) = T_xM$, for all $x \in M$. Moreover, under the isomorphism between L_η and $TM\times\mathbb{R}$, the 1-cocycle ϕ_{L_η} is the pair $(0,1) \in \Omega^1(M)\times C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R}) \cong \Gamma(T^*M\times\mathbb{R})$ (see (2.9) and (2.15)).

4.- Jacobi structures

A *Jacobi structure* on a manifold M is a pair (Λ, E), where Λ is a 2-vector and E is a vector field, such that $[\Lambda,\Lambda] = 2E\wedge\Lambda$ and $[E,\Lambda] = 0$, $[\, ,\,]$ being the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket. If the vector field E identically vanishes then (M,Λ) is a *Poisson manifold.* Jacobi and Poisson manifolds were introduced by Lichnerowicz [17, 18] (see also [1, 4, 9, 15, 16, 28, 31]).

Now, given a 2-vector Λ and a vector field E on a manifold M, we can consider the vector sub-bundle $L_{(\Lambda,E)}$ of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ whose sections are

$$\Gamma(L_{(\Lambda,E)}) = \{(\#_\Lambda(\alpha) + f\,E, -i_E\alpha) + (\alpha, f)/(\alpha,f) \in \Omega^1(M)\times C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R})\}, \tag{2.16}$$

where $\#_\Lambda : \Omega^1(M) \to \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is the homomorphism of $C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R})$-modules defined by $\beta(\#_\Lambda(\alpha)) = \Lambda(\alpha,\beta)$, for $\alpha,\beta \in \Omega^1(M)$. Note that the vector bundles $L_{(\Lambda,E)}$ and $T^*M\times\mathbb{R}$ are isomorphic. Moreover, we have that $L_{(\Lambda,E)}$ is a $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure if and only if (Λ,E) is a Jacobi structure (see [30]).

If (Λ,E) is a Jacobi structure on a manifold M and $L_{(\Lambda,E)}$ is the associated $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure then the Lie algebroids $(L_{(\Lambda,E)}, [\,,\,]_{L_{(\Lambda,E)}}, \rho_{L_{(\Lambda,E)}})$ and $(T^*M\times\mathbb{R}, [\![\,,\,]\!]_{(\Lambda,E)}, \widetilde{\#}_{(\Lambda,E)})$ are isomorphic, where $[\![\,,\,]\!]_{(\Lambda,E)}$ and $\widetilde{\#}_{(\Lambda,E)}$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
[\![(\alpha,f),(\beta,g)]\!]_{(\Lambda,E)} &= (\mathcal{L}_{\#_\Lambda(\alpha)}\beta - \mathcal{L}_{\#_\Lambda(\beta)}\alpha - d(\Lambda(\alpha,\beta)) + f\mathcal{L}_E\beta - g\mathcal{L}_E\alpha - i_E(\alpha\wedge\beta),\\
&\qquad \Lambda(\beta,\alpha) + \#_\Lambda(\alpha)(g) - \#_\Lambda(\beta)(f) + fE(g) - gE(f)),\\[1em]
\widetilde{\#}_{(\Lambda,E)}(\alpha,f) &= \#_\Lambda(\alpha) + fE,
\end{aligned}$$

for $(\alpha,f),(\beta,g) \in \Omega^1(M)\times C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R}) \cong \Gamma(T^*M\times\mathbb{R})$ (see [30]). The Lie algebroid structure $([\![\,,\,]\!]_{(\Lambda,E)}, \widetilde{\#}_{(\Lambda,E)})$ on $T^*M\times\mathbb{R}$ was introduced in [14]. In this case, the characteristic foliation of the $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure $L_{(\Lambda,E)}$ is just the characteristic foliation on M associated with the Jacobi structure (Λ,E) (see [4, 9, 15]). In addition, under the isomorphism between $L_{(\Lambda,E)}$ and $T^*M\times\mathbb{R}$, the 1-cocycle $\phi_{L_{(\Lambda,E)}}$ is the pair $(-E,0) \in \mathfrak{X}(M)\times C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R}) \cong \Gamma(TM\times\mathbb{R})$ (see (2.9) and (2.16)).

5.- Homogeneous Poisson structures

A *homogeneous Poisson manifold* (M,Π,Z) is a Poisson manifold (M,Π) with a vector field Z such that $[Z,\Pi] = -\Pi$ (see [4]). Given a 2-vector Π and a vector field Z on a manifold M, we can define the vector sub-bundle $L_{(\Pi,Z)}$ of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ whose sections are

$$\Gamma(L_{(\Pi,Z)}) = \{(\#_\Pi(\alpha) - f\,Z, f) + (\alpha, i_Z\alpha)/(\alpha,f) \in \Omega^1(M)\times C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R})\}. \tag{2.17}$$

The vector bundles $L_{(\Pi,Z)}$ and $T^*M\times\mathbb{R}$ are isomorphic. Furthermore, (M,Π,Z) is a homogeneous Poisson manifold if and only if $L_{(\Pi,Z)}$ is a $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure (see [30]).

Let (M,Π,Z) be a homogeneous Poisson manifold and $L_{(\Pi,Z)}$ be the associated $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure. Then, from (2.2), (2.3) and (2.17), it follows that the Lie algebroids $(L_{(\Pi,Z)}, [\,,\,]_{L_{(\Pi,Z)}}, \rho_{L_{(\Pi,Z)}})$ and $(T^*M\times\mathbb{R}, [\![\,,\,]\!]_{(\Pi,Z)}, \widetilde{\#}_{(\Pi,Z)})$ are isomorphic, where $[\![\,,\,]\!]_{(\Pi,Z)}$ and $\widetilde{\#}_{(\Pi,Z)}$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
[\![(\alpha,f),(\beta,g)]\!]_{(\Pi,Z)} &= \Big(\mathcal{L}_{\#_\Pi(\alpha)}\beta - \mathcal{L}_{\#_\Pi(\beta)}\alpha - d(\Pi(\alpha,\beta)) - f(\mathcal{L}_Z\beta - \beta) + g(\mathcal{L}_Z\alpha - \alpha),\\
&\qquad \#_\Pi(\alpha)(g) - \#_\Pi(\beta)(f) + g\,Z(f) - f\,Z(g)\Big),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

$$\widetilde{\#}_{(\Pi,Z)}(\alpha,f) = \#_\Pi(\alpha) - f\,Z,$$

for $(\alpha,f),(\beta,g) \in \Omega^1(M)\times C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R}) \cong \Gamma(T^*M\times\mathbb{R})$. Furthermore, it is clear that $\mathcal{F}_{L_{(\Pi,Z)}}(x) = \#_\Pi(T_x^*M) + < Z(x) >$, for all $x \in M$. In other words, if $\mathcal{F}_\Pi$ is the symplectic foliation of the Poisson manifold (M,Π) then

$$\mathcal{F}_{L_{(\Pi,Z)}}(x) = \mathcal{F}_\Pi(x) + < Z(x) >, \text{ for all } x \in M. \tag{2.19}$$

In addition, under the isomorphism between $L_{(\Pi,Z)}$ and $T^*M \times \mathbb{R}$, the 1-cocycle $\phi_{L_{(\Pi,Z)}}$ is the pair $(0,1) \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \times C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R}) \cong \Gamma(TM \times \mathbb{R})$ (see (2.9) and (2.17)).

On the other hand, we may consider the Lie algebroid structure $([\![\,,\,]\!]_\Pi, \#_\Pi)$ on the vector bundle $T^*M \to M$ induced by the Poisson structure Π and the Lie algebroid structure $([\![\,,\,]\!]_Z, \rho_Z)$ on the vector bundle $M \times \mathbb{R} \to M$ induced by the vector field Z. The explicit definitions of $[\![\,,\,]\!]_\Pi$, $[\![\,,\,]\!]_Z$ and ρ_Z are

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[\![\alpha,\beta]\!]_\Pi = \mathcal{L}_{\#_\Pi(\alpha)}\beta - \mathcal{L}_{\#_\Pi(\beta)}\alpha - d(\Pi(\alpha,\beta)),\\
&[\![f,g]\!]_Z = g\,Z(f) - f\,Z(g), \quad \rho_Z(f) = -f\,Z,
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\alpha,\beta \in \Omega^1(M)$ and $f,g \in C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R})$. Then, using (2.18), we conclude that $(T^*M, [\![\,,\,]\!]_\Pi, \#_\Pi)$ and $(M \times \mathbb{R}, [\![\,,\,]\!]_Z, \rho_Z)$ are Lie subalgebroids of $(T^*M \times \mathbb{R}, [\![\,,\,]\!]_{(\Pi,Z)}, \widetilde{\#}_{(\Pi,Z)})$. This implies that $(T^*M, [\![\,,\,]\!]_\Pi, \#_\Pi)$ and $(M\times\mathbb{R}, [\![\,,\,]\!]_Z, \rho_Z)$ form a matched pair of Lie algebroids in the sense of Mokri [23].

In Section 4, we will prove that if F is a leaf of the characteristic foliation of a $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure L then L induces a $\mathcal{E}^1(F)$-Dirac structure L_F and, in addition, we will describe the nature of L_F. First, in the next Section, we will show two general results about the relation between the 1-cocycles of an arbitrary Lie algebroid A and the leaves of the Lie algebroid foliation $\mathcal{F}_A$.

3 1-cocycles of a Lie algebroid and the leaves of the Lie algebroid foliation

Let $(A, [\![\,,\,]\!], \rho)$ be a Lie algebroid over M, $\phi \in \Gamma(A^*)$ be a 1-cocycle and $\pi_1 : M \times \mathbb{R} \to M$ be the canonical projection onto the first factor. We consider the map $\cdot : \Gamma(A) \times C^\infty(M\times\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \to C^\infty(M \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ given by

$$
X \cdot \bar{f} = \rho(X)(\bar{f}) + \phi(X)\frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial t}.
$$

It is easy to prove that $\cdot$ is an action of A on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ in the sense of [10] (see Definition 2.3 in [10]). Thus, if π_1^*A is the pull-back of A over π_1 then the vector bundle $\pi_1^*A \to M \times \mathbb{R}$ admits a Lie algebroid structure $([\![\,,\,]\!]^{-\phi}, \bar{\rho}^\phi)$ (see Theorem 2.4 in [10]). For the sake of simplicity, when the 1-cocycle ϕ is zero, we will denote by $([\![\,,\,]\!]^{-}, \bar{\rho})$ the resultant Lie algebroid structure on $\pi_1^*A \to M \times \mathbb{R}$. On the other hand, it is clear that the vector bundles $\pi_1^*A \to M \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\bar{A} = A \times \mathbb{R} \to M \times \mathbb{R}$ are isomorphic and that the space of sections $\Gamma(\bar{A})$ of $\bar{A} \to M \times \mathbb{R}$ can be identified with the set of time-dependent sections of $A \to M$. Under this identification, we have that $[\![\bar{X},\bar{Y}]\!]^{-}(x,t) = [\![\bar{X}_t,\bar{Y}_t]\!](x)$ and that $\bar{\rho}(\bar{X})(x,t) = \rho(\bar{X}_t)(x)$, for $\bar{X},\bar{Y} \in \Gamma(\bar{A})$ and $(x,t) \in M \times \mathbb{R}$ (see [10]). In addition,

$$
[\![\bar{X},\bar{Y}]\!]^{-\phi} = [\![\bar{X},\bar{Y}]\!]^{-} + \phi(\bar{X})\frac{\partial \bar{Y}}{\partial t} - \phi(\bar{Y})\frac{\partial \bar{X}}{\partial t}, \qquad \bar{\rho}^\phi(\bar{X}) = \bar{\rho}(\bar{X}) + \phi(\bar{X})\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \tag{3.1}
$$

where $\dfrac{\partial \bar{X}}{\partial t} \in \Gamma(\bar{A})$ denotes the derivative of $\bar{X}$ with respect to the time.

Now, if $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}}$ is the Lie algebroid foliation of $(\bar{A}, [\![\, , \,]\!]^{-\phi}, \bar{\rho}^{\phi})$ then, from (3.1), it follows that

$$\mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}}(x,t) = \{\rho(e_x) + \phi(x)(e_x) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}_{|t} \in T_{(x,t)}(M \times \mathbb{R}) \,/\, e_x \in A_x\}, \tag{3.2}$$

for all $(x,t) \in M \times \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, a direct computation shows that,

$$dim\, \mathcal{F}_A(x) \leq dim\, \mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}}(x,t) \leq dim\, \mathcal{F}_A(x) + 1, \tag{3.3}$$

$$dim\, \mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}}(x,t) = dim\, \mathcal{F}_A(x) \iff ker\,(\rho_{|A_x}) \subseteq < \phi(x) >^{\circ}, \tag{3.4}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_A$ is the Lie algebroid foliation of A and $< \phi(x) >^{\circ}$ is the annihilator of the subspace of A_x^* generated by $\phi(x)$, that is,

$$< \phi(x) >^{\circ} = \{e_x \in A_x \,/\, \phi(x)(e_x) = 0\}.$$

Remark 3.1 Note that the vector field $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ is an infinitesimal automorphism of the foliation $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}}$. Therefore, if (x, t_0) and (x, t_0') are points of $M \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\bar{F}$, $\bar{F}'$ are the leaves of $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}}$ passing through (x, t_0) and (x, t_0'), then the map $(y, s) \mapsto (y, s + (t_0' - t_0))$ is a diffeomorphism from $\bar{F}$ to $\bar{F}'$.

Next, we will discuss some relations between the leaves of $\mathcal{F}_A$ and the 1-cocycle ϕ and between the leaves of $\mathcal{F}_A$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}}$. More precisely, the aim of this Section is to prove the following two results.

Theorem 3.2 *Let $(A, [\![\, , \,]\!], \rho)$ be a Lie algebroid and $\phi \in \Gamma(A^*)$ be a 1-cocycle. If F is a leaf of the Lie algebroid foliation $\mathcal{F}_A$ and S_F^{ϕ} is the subset of F defined by*

$$S_F^{\phi} = \{x \in F \,/\, ker\,(\rho_{|A_x}) \subseteq < \phi(x) >^{\circ}\},$$

then $S_F^{\phi} = \emptyset$ or $S_F^{\phi} = F$. Furthermore, in the second case ($S_F^{\phi} = F$), the 1-cocycle ϕ induces a closed 1-form ω_F on F which is characterized by the condition

$$\omega_F(\rho(e)_{|F}) = -\phi(e)_{|F}, \ \textit{for all } e \in \Gamma(A). \tag{3.5}$$

Theorem 3.3 *Let $(A, [\![\, , \,]\!], \rho)$ be a Lie algebroid, $\phi \in \Gamma(A^*)$ be a 1-cocycle and consider on the vector bundle $\bar{A} = A \times \mathbb{R} \to M \times \mathbb{R}$ the Lie algebroid structure $([\![\, , \,]\!]^{-\phi}, \bar{\rho}^{\phi})$ given by (3.1). Suppose that $(x_0, t_0) \in M \times \mathbb{R}$ and that F and $\bar{F}$ are the leaves of the Lie algebroid foliations $\mathcal{F}_A$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}}$ passing through $x_0 \in M$ and $(x_0, t_0) \in M \times \mathbb{R}$, respectively. Then:*

i) If $ker\,(\rho_{|A_{x_0}}) \nsubseteq < \phi(x_0) >^{\circ}$ (or, equivalently, $S_F^{\phi} = \emptyset$) we have that $\bar{F} = F \times \mathbb{R}$.

ii) If $ker\,(\rho_{|A_{x_0}}) \subseteq < \phi(x_0) >^{\circ}$ (or, equivalently, $S_F^{\phi} = F$) and $\pi_1 : M \times \mathbb{R} \to M$ is the canonical projection onto the first factor, we have that $\pi_1(\bar{F}) = F$ and that the map $\pi_{1|\bar{F}}$:

$\bar{F} \to F$ is a covering map. In addition, if ω_F is the closed 1-form on F characterized by the condition (3.5) and $i_{\bar{F}} : \bar{F} \to M \times \mathbb{R}$ is the canonical inclusion then $\bar{F}$ is diffeomorphic to a Galois covering of F associated with ω_F and

$$(\pi_{1|\bar{F}})^*(\omega_F) = -d((i_{\bar{F}})^*(t)).$$

In order to prove the above results, we will use the following three lemmas.

Lemma 3.4 *i) If $\bar{F}$ is a leaf of the Lie algebroid foliation $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}}$ and $S^{\phi}_{\bar{F}}$ is the subset of $\bar{F}$ defined by*

$$S^{\phi}_{\bar{F}} = \{(x,t) \in \bar{F} \, / \, ker\,(\rho_{|A_x}) \subseteq < \phi(x) >^{\circ}\},$$

then $S^{\phi}_{\bar{F}}$ is an open subset of $\bar{F}$.

ii) If F is a leaf of the Lie algebroid foliation $\mathcal{F}_A$ then S^{ϕ}_F is an open subset of F.

Proof: i) Assume that $dim\,\bar{F} = r$ and let (x_0, t_0) be a point of $S^{\phi}_{\bar{F}}$. We will show that there exists a connected open subset $\bar{W}_{(x_0,t_0)}$ of $\bar{F}$ such that $(x_0,t_0) \in \bar{W}_{(x_0,t_0)}$ and $\bar{W}_{(x_0,t_0)} \subseteq S^{\phi}_{\bar{F}}$ or, equivalently (see (3.4)),

$$dim\,\mathcal{F}_A(x) = dim\,\mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}}(x,t) = r, \text{ for all } (x,t) \in \bar{W}_{(x_0,t_0)}. \tag{3.6}$$

Note that

$$dim\,\mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}}(x,t) = r, \text{ for all } (x,t) \in \bar{F}. \tag{3.7}$$

Therefore, we can choose a global generator system $\{e_1, \ldots, e_m\}$ of $\Gamma(A)$ in such a way that the set of vectors $\{\rho(e_i(x_0)) + \phi(x_0)(e_i(x_0))\frac{\partial}{\partial t}_{|t_0}\}_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ are a basis of the vector space $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}}(x_0,t_0)$. Then, using that $(x_0,t_0) \in S^{\phi}_{\bar{F}}$, we deduce that the vectors $\{\rho(e_i(x_0))\}_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ are linearly independent in $T_{x_0}M$. This implies that $dim\,\mathcal{F}_A(x_0) \geq r$ and, since the rank of a differentiable generalized distribution is a lower semicontinuous function (see [28]), there exists an open subset V'_{x_0} of M, $x_0 \in V'_{x_0}$, such that

$$dim\,\mathcal{F}_A(x) \geq dim\,\mathcal{F}_A(x_0) \geq r, \text{ for all } x \in V'_{x_0}. \tag{3.8}$$

Thus, if $\bar{W}_{(x_0,t_0)}$ is the connected component of the point (x_0,t_0) in the open subset (of $\bar{F}$) $\bar{F} \cap (V'_{x_0} \times \mathbb{R})$ then, from (3.3), (3.7) and (3.8), it follows that (3.6) holds.

ii) Let x_0 be a point of S^{ϕ}_F. We will prove that there exists an open neighbourhood V_{x_0} of x_0 in F which is contained in S^{ϕ}_F.

Suppose that $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, that $\bar{F}$ is the leaf of $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}}$ passing through (x_0,t_0) and that the dimension of $\bar{F}$ is r. Then, the point $(x_0,t_0) \in S^{\phi}_{\bar{F}}$ and thus there exists a connected open subset $\bar{W}_{(x_0,t_0)}$ of $\bar{F}$ such that $(x_0,t_0) \in \bar{W}_{(x_0,t_0)}$ and (3.6) holds.

Now, if $\pi_1 : M \times \mathbb{R} \to M$ is the canonical projection onto the first factor, (3.2), (3.6) and Remark 2.3 imply that $\pi_1(\bar{W}_{(x_0,t_0)}) \subseteq F$ and that $\pi_1 : \bar{W}_{(x_0,t_0)} \to F$ is a local diffeomorphism. Therefore, $V_{x_0} = \pi_1(\bar{W}_{(x_0,t_0)})$ is an open subset of F, $x_0 \in V_{x_0}$ and it is clear that $V_{x_0} \subseteq S^{\phi}_F$ (see (3.4) and (3.6)). $\boxed{QED}$

Lemma 3.5 *If F is a leaf of the Lie algebroid foliation $\mathcal{F}_A$ then S_F^ϕ is a closed subset of F.*

Proof: We must prove that $F - S_F^\phi$ is an open subset of F.

Let x_0 be a point of $F - S_F^\phi$.

Assume that $\{s_1, \ldots, s_n\}$ is a local basis of $\Gamma(A)$ in an open neighbourhood of x_0 in M. If the dimension of F is r we can suppose, without loss of the generality, that the vectors $\{\rho(s_i(x_0))\}_{1\leq i\leq r}$ are linearly independent in $T_{x_0}M$. Then, there exists an open subset U'_{x_0} of M, $x_0 \in U'_{x_0}$, such that for every $x \in U'_{x_0}$ the set of vectors $\{\rho(s_i(x))\}_{1\leq i\leq r}$ are linearly independent in T_xM. Thus, this set is a basis of T_xF, for all $x \in U_{x_0} = U'_{x_0} \cap F$. This implies that

$$\rho(s_{r+k})_{|U_{x_0}} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} g_k^i \, \rho(s_i)_{|U_{x_0}},$$

for all $k \in \{1, \ldots, n-r\}$, with $g_k^i \in C^\infty(U_{x_0}, \mathbb{R})$. Therefore, if $k \in \{1, \ldots, n-r\}$ and $e_{r+k} : U_{x_0} \to A$ is the map defined by

$$e_{r+k}(x) = s_{r+k}(x) - \sum_{i=1}^{r} g_k^i(x) s_i(x), \text{ for all } x \in U_{x_0},$$

we deduce that the set $\{e_{r+k}(x)\}_{1\leq k\leq n-r}$ is a basis of the vector space $ker\,(\rho_{|A_x})$, for all $x \in U_{x_0}$. Consequently, since $x_0 \in F - S_F^\phi$, it follows that $\phi(x_0)(e_{r+k_0}(x_0)) \neq 0$, for some $k_0 \in \{1, \ldots, n-r\}$. Hence, we conclude that there exists an open subset V_{x_0} of F, $x_0 \in V_{x_0} \subseteq U_{x_0}$, such that $\phi(x)(e_{r+k_0}(x)) \neq 0$, for all $x \in V_{x_0}$. Then, it is clear that $V_{x_0} \subseteq F - S_F^\phi$. $\boxed{QED}$

Lemma 3.6 *If $\bar{F}$ is a leaf of the Lie algebroid foliation $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}}$ and $S_{\bar{F}}^\phi$ is the subset of $\bar{F}$ defined by*

$$S_{\bar{F}}^\phi = \{(x,t) \in \bar{F} \,/\, ker\,(\rho_{|A_x}) \subseteq < \phi(x) >^\circ\},$$

then $S_{\bar{F}}^\phi$ is a closed subset of $\bar{F}$.

Proof: Assume that the dimension of $\bar{F}$ is r. We will prove that $\bar{F} - S_{\bar{F}}^\phi$ is an open subset of $\bar{F}$.

Let (x_0, t_0) be a point of $\bar{F} - S_{\bar{F}}^\phi$ and suppose that F is the leaf of $\mathcal{F}_A$ passing through x_0. We have that $x_0 \in F - S_F^\phi$. Thus, using Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5 and the fact that F is connected, we deduce that

$$S_F^\phi = \emptyset. \tag{3.9}$$

Therefore, from (3.2) and (3.9), it follows that

$$\mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}}(x,t) = \mathcal{F}_A(x) \oplus < \frac{\partial}{\partial t}_{|t} >, \text{ for all } (x,t) \in F \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Consequently, $F \times \mathbb{R}$ is a connected integral submanifold of $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}}$ and its dimension is r. This implies that $F \times \mathbb{R}$ is an open subset of $\bar{F}$. Finally, from (3.9), we conclude that $F \times \mathbb{R} \subseteq \bar{F} - S^{\phi}_{\bar{F}}$. $\boxed{QED}$

Proof of Theorem 3.2: Using Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5 and the fact that F is connected it follows that $S^{\phi}_{F} = \emptyset$ or $S^{\phi}_{F} = F$.

Now, if $S^{\phi}_{F} = F$ we may introduce a 1-form ω_F on F given by

$$\omega_F(x)(\rho(e_x)) = -\phi(x)(e_x),$$

for all $x \in F$ and $e_x \in A_x$. Note that the condition

$$ker\,(\rho_{|A_x}) \subseteq < \phi(x) >^{\circ}, \text{ for all } x \in F,$$

implies that $\omega_F(x) : T_xF \to \mathbb{R}$ is well defined. Moreover, it is clear that ω_F satisfies (3.5) and, since ϕ is a 1-cocycle, we deduce that ω_F is closed. $\boxed{QED}$

Proof of Theorem 3.3: i) If $ker\,(\rho_{|A_{x_0}}) \not\subseteq < \phi(x_0) >^{\circ}$ then $(x_0, t_0) \in \bar{F} - S^{\phi}_{\bar{F}}$ and thus, using Lemmas 3.4 and 3.6 and the fact that $\bar{F}$ is connected, we obtain that

$$S^{\phi}_{\bar{F}} = \emptyset. \tag{3.10}$$

Now, proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 3.6, we deduce that $F \times \mathbb{R}$ is an open subset of $\bar{F}$. On the other hand, from (3.3), (3.4) and (3.10), it follows that

$$dim\,\mathcal{F}_A(x) = dim\,\mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}}(x,t) - 1 = dim\,\bar{F} - 1, \text{ for all } (x,t) \in \bar{F}.$$

Using this fact, (3.2) and Remark 2.3, we have that $\pi_1(\bar{F}) \subseteq F$. Therefore, we have proved that $\bar{F} = F \times \mathbb{R}$.

ii) Assume that $ker\,(\rho_{|A_{x_0}}) \subseteq < \phi(x_0) >^{\circ}$. Then, $(x_0, t_0) \in S^{\phi}_{\bar{F}}$ which implies that $\bar{F} = S^{\phi}_{\bar{F}}$, that is,

$$dim\,\mathcal{F}_A(x) = dim\,\mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}}(x,t) = dim\,\bar{F}, \text{ for all } (x,t) \in \bar{F}. \tag{3.11}$$

Using (3.2), (3.11) and Remark 2.3, we obtain that $\pi_1(\bar{F}) \subseteq F$ and that $\pi_{1|\bar{F}} : \bar{F} \to F$ is a local diffeomorphism. Consequently, $\pi_1(\bar{F})$ is an open subset of F.

In addition, from (3.2) and (3.5), it follows that

$$(\pi_{1|\bar{F}})^*(\omega_F) = -d((i_{\bar{F}})^*t). \tag{3.12}$$

Next, we will show that $\pi_1(\bar{F})$ is a closed subset of F and that $\pi_{1|\bar{F}} : \bar{F} \to F$ is a covering map.

Let x be a point of F. Since ω_F is a closed 1-form, there exists a connected open subset U in F and a real C^{∞}-differentiable function f_F on U such that $x \in U$ and

$$\omega_F = df_F \quad \text{on } U. \tag{3.13}$$

Then, using (3.2), (3.5), (3.13), Remark 2.3 and the fact that $\pi_1(\bar{F}) \subseteq F$, we deduce the following result

$$\begin{aligned} (y,s) \in (\pi_{1|\bar{F}})^{-1}(U) = \pi_1^{-1}(U) \cap \bar{F} \implies & \\ \{(z, s + f_F(y) - f_F(z)) \in M \times \mathbb{R} \,/\, z \in U\} \subseteq \bar{F}. & \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Thus, if $x \in F - \pi_1(\bar{F})$, we have that $U \subseteq F - \pi_1(\bar{F})$. This proves that $\pi_1(\bar{F})$ is a closed subset of F which implies that $\pi_1(\bar{F}) = F$.

Now, suppose that (x,t) is a point of $\bar{F}$ and let U be a connected open subset of F and f_F be a real C^∞-differentiable on F such that $x \in U$ and (3.13) holds. If $C_{(y,s)}$ is the connected component of a point $(y,s) \in (\pi_{1|\bar{F}})^{-1}(U)$ then, using (3.12) and (3.13), it follows that the function $(\pi_{1|\bar{F}})^*(f_F) + (i_{\bar{F}})^* t$ is constant on $C_{(y,s)}$. Therefore, from (3.14), we obtain that

$$C_{(y,s)} = \{(z, s + f_F(y) - f_F(z)) \in M \times \mathbb{R} \,/\, z \in U\}.$$

Consequently, the map $\pi_{1|C_{(y,s)}} : C_{(y,s)} \to U$ is a diffeomorphism. This proves that $\pi_{1|\bar{F}} : \bar{F} \to F$ is a covering map.

Finally, let E be the covering of F associated with ω_F, that is, E is the sheaf of germs of C^∞ functions g_F on F such that $dg_F = \omega_F$ (see Section 2 of Chapter XIV in [7]). Denote by $(f_F^0)_{[x_0]}$ the germ of f_F^0 at x_0, where f_F^0 is a C^∞ function on a connected open subset U_0 of F such that $x_0 \in U_0$, $(f_F^0)(x_0) = t_0$ and $\omega_{F|U_0} = df_F^0$. Then, using the above description of the leaf $\bar{F}$ and the results in [7], we deduce that $\bar{F}$ is diffeomorphic to the connected component of $(f_F^0)_{[x_0]}$ in E. In other words, $\bar{F}$ is diffeomorphic to a Galois covering of F associated with ω_F. $\boxed{QED}$

4 $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structures, submanifolds of the base space and the leaves of the characteristic foliation

4.1 $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structures and submanifolds of the base space

In this Section, we will prove that if S is a submanifold of M then, under certain regularity conditions, a $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure induces a $\mathcal{E}^1(S)$-Dirac structure. This result will be used in Section 4.2.

Let L be a vector sub-bundle of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ which is maximally isotropic under the symmetric pairing $<\,,\,>_+$ and S be a submanifold of M. If x is a point of S, we may define the vector space $(L_S)_x$ by

$$(L_S)_x = \frac{L_x \cap ((T_xS \times \mathbb{R}) \oplus (T_x^*M \times \mathbb{R}))}{L_x \cap (\{0\} \oplus ((T_xS)^\circ \times \{0\}))}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $(T_xS)^\circ$ is the annihilator of T_xS, that is, $(T_xS)^\circ = \{\alpha \in T_x^*M \,/\, \alpha_{|T_xS} = 0\}$. We have that the linear map $(L_S)_x \to (T_xS \times \mathbb{R}) \oplus (T_x^*S \times \mathbb{R})$ given by

$$[(u,\lambda) + (\alpha,\mu)] \mapsto (u,\lambda) + (\alpha_{|T_xS}, \mu), \tag{4.2}$$

is a monomorphism and thus $(L_S)_x$ can be identified with a subspace of $(T_xS \times \mathbb{R}) \oplus (T_x^*S \times \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, using the results of Section 1.4 in [2], we deduce that $(L_S)_x$ is a maximally isotropic subspace of $(T_xS \times \mathbb{R}) \oplus (T_x^*S \times \mathbb{R})$ under the symmetric pairing $<\,,\,>_+$. In particular, this implies that $dim\,(L_S)_x = dim\,S + 1$, for all $x \in S$. In addition, we may prove the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1 *Let L be a $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure and S be a submanifold of M. If the dimension of $L_x \cap ((T_xS \times \mathbb{R}) \oplus (T_x^*M \times \mathbb{R}))$ keeps constant for all $x \in S$ (or, equivalently, the dimension of $L_x \cap (\{0\} \oplus ((T_xS)^\circ \times \{0\}))$ keeps constant for all $x \in S$) then $L_S = \bigcup_{x\in S}(L_S)_x$ is a vector sub-bundle of $\mathcal{E}^1(S)$ and, furthermore, L_S is a $\mathcal{E}^1(S)$-Dirac structure.*

Proof: It is clear that L_S is a maximally isotropic vector sub-bundle of $\mathcal{E}^1(S)$ under the symmetric pairing $<\,,\,>_+$.

Now, we consider the vector bundle $\hat{L}_S$ over S such that the fiber $(\hat{L}_S)_x$ of $\hat{L}_S$ over $x \in S$ is given by

$$(\hat{L}_S)_x = L_x \cap ((T_xS \times \mathbb{R}) \oplus (T_x^*M \times \mathbb{R})).$$

Denote by $i_S : \hat{L}_S \to L$ the inclusion map, by $\pi_S : \hat{L}_S \to L_S$ the canonical projection and by T_L (respectively, T_{L_S}) the section of $\wedge^3 L^*$ (respectively, $\wedge^3 L_S^*$) associated with the isotropic vector sub-bundle L (respectively, L_S). The map i_S (respectively, π_S) is a monomorphism (respectively, epimorphism) of vector bundles. Furthermore, if $e_i = (X_i, f_i) + (\alpha_i, g_i) \in \Gamma(\hat{L}_S)$, with $i \in \{1,2,3\}$, then, from (2.6) and Proposition 2.2, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}(\pi_S^* T_{L_S})(e_1,e_2,e_3) &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{Cycl.(e_1,e_2,e_3)} \Big(i_{[X_1,X_2]}\alpha_3 + g_3(X_1(f_2) - X_2(f_1)) \\ &\qquad\qquad + X_3(i_{X_2}\alpha_1 + f_2 g_1) + f_3(i_{X_2}\alpha_1 + f_2 g_1)\Big) \\ &= (i_S^* T_L)(e_1,e_2,e_3) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\pi_S^* T_{L_S} = 0$ and, since π_S is an epimorphism of vector bundles, we conclude that $T_{L_S} = 0$. This implies that L_S is a $\mathcal{E}^1(S)$-Dirac structure. $\boxed{QED}$

4.2 The induced structure on the leaves of the characteristic foliation of a $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure

Let L be a $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure. Denote by $(L, [\,,\,]_L, \rho_L)$ the associated Lie algebroid and by $\phi_L \in \Gamma(L^*)$ the 1-cocycle defined by (2.9).

We consider the bundle map $(\rho_L,\phi_L):L\to TM\times\mathbb{R}$ given by

$$(\rho_L,\phi_L)(e_x)=(\rho_L(e_x),\phi_L(x)(e_x)), \tag{4.3}$$

for $e_x\in L_x$ and $x\in M$. Then, we may define the 2-form $\Psi_L(x)$ on the vector space $(\rho_L,\phi_L)(L_x)$ by

$$\Psi_L(x)((\rho_L,\phi_L)((e_1)_x),(\rho_L,\phi_L)((e_2)_x))=<(e_1)_x,(e_2)_x>_-, \tag{4.4}$$

for $(e_1)_x,(e_2)_x\in L_x$, $<,>_-$ being the natural skew-symmetric pairing on $(T_xM\times\mathbb{R})\oplus(T_x^*M\times\mathbb{R})$. Since L is a isotropic vector sub-bundle of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ under the symmetric pairing $<,>_+$, we deduce that the 2-form $\Psi_L(x)$ is well defined. Note that if $e_1,e_2\in\Gamma(L)$ then one may consider the function $\Psi_L((\rho_L,\phi_L)(e_1),(\rho_L,\phi_L)(e_2))\in C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R})$ given by

$$\Psi_L((\rho_L,\phi_L)(e_1),(\rho_L,\phi_L)(e_2))(x)=\Psi_L(x)((\rho_L,\phi_L)((e_1)_x),(\rho_L,\phi_L)((e_2)_x)),\ \text{for all } x\in M.$$

In fact, if $e_i=(X_i,f_i)+(\alpha_i,g_i)$, with $i\in\{1,2\}$, we have that

$$\Psi_L((X_1,f_1),(X_2,f_2))=i_{X_2}\alpha_1+f_2g_1. \tag{4.5}$$

Now, let $\mathcal{F}_L$ be the characteristic foliation of the $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure L and F be a leaf of $\mathcal{F}_L$. If x is a point of F, we will denote by $(L_F)_x$ the vector subspace of $(T_xF\times\mathbb{R})\oplus(T_x^*F\times\mathbb{R})$ given by (see Section 4.1)

$$(L_F)_x=\frac{L_x\cap((T_xF\times\mathbb{R})\oplus(T_x^*M\times\mathbb{R}))}{L_x\cap(\{0\}\oplus((T_xF)^\circ\times\{0\}))}.$$

Then, we will prove that $L_F=\bigcup_{x\in F}(L_F)_x$ defines a $\mathcal{E}^1(F)$-Dirac structure and we will describe the nature of L_F.

Theorem 4.2 *Let L be a $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure and F be the leaf of the characteristic foliation $\mathcal{F}_L$ passing through $x_0\in M$. Then, $L_F=\bigcup_{x\in F}(L_F)_x$ defines a $\mathcal{E}^1(F)$-Dirac structure and we have two possibilities:*

- *i) If ker $(\rho_{L|L_{x_0}})\not\subseteq<\phi_L(x_0)>^\circ$, the $\mathcal{E}^1(F)$-Dirac structure L_F comes from a precontact structure η_F on F, that is, $L_F=L_{\eta_F}$. In this case, F is said to be a precontact leaf.*
- *ii) If ker $(\rho_{L|L_{x_0}})\subseteq<\phi_L(x_0)>^\circ$, the $\mathcal{E}^1(F)$-Dirac structure L_F comes from a locally conformal presymplectic structure (Ω_F,ω_F) on F, that is, $L_F=L_{(\Omega_F,\omega_F)}$. In this case, F is said to be a locally conformal presymplectic leaf.*

Proof: From the definition of $\mathcal{F}_L$, it follows that

$$(\hat{L}_F)_x=L_x\cap((T_xF\times\mathbb{R})\oplus(T_x^*M\times\mathbb{R}))=L_x,\ \text{for all } x\in F.$$

Thus, since L is a maximally isotropic vector sub-bundle of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ under the symmetric pairing $< , >_+$, we obtain that $dim\,(\hat{L}_F)_x = dim\,M + 1$, for all $x \in F$. Therefore, using Proposition 4.1, we deduce that L_F defines a $\mathcal{E}^1(F)$-Dirac structure.

Next, we will distinguish the two cases:

i) Assume that $ker\,(\rho_{L|L_{x_0}}) \not\subseteq < \phi_L(x_0) >^\circ$. Then, from Theorem 3.2, we have that $ker\,(\rho_{L|L_x}) \not\subseteq < \phi_L(x) >^\circ$, for all $x \in F$. This implies that the map $(\rho_L, \phi_L)_{|L_x} : L_x \to T_xF \times \mathbb{R}$ is a linear epimorphism, for all $x \in F$ (see (4.3)). Consequently, the restriction of Ψ_L to F defines a section of the vector bundle $\wedge^2(T^*F \times \mathbb{R}) \to F$, i.e., a pair $(\Phi_F, \eta_F) \in \Omega^2(F) \times \Omega^1(F)$. The relation between Ψ_L and (Φ_F, η_F) is given by

$$\Psi_L(x)((u_1, \lambda_1), (u_2, \lambda_2)) = \Phi_F(x)(u_1, u_2) + \lambda_1\,\eta_F(x)(u_2) - \lambda_2\,\eta_F(x)(u_1), \tag{4.6}$$

for all $x \in F$ and $(u_1, \lambda_1), (u_2, \lambda_2) \in T_xF \times \mathbb{R}$.

Now, suppose that $(u, \lambda) + (\alpha, \mu) \in (\hat{L}_F)_x = L_x$, with $x \in F$. From (2.1), (4.4) and (4.6), it follows that

$$(i_u\Phi_F(x) + \lambda\,\eta_F(x))(v) + \nu(-\eta_F(x)(u)) = \alpha(v) + \nu\,\mu,$$

for all $(v, \nu) \in T_xF \times \mathbb{R}$, that is, $\alpha_{|T_xF} = i_u\Phi_F(x) + \lambda\,\eta_F(x)$ and $\mu = -\eta_F(x)(u)$. In other words, if we consider $(L_F)_x$ to be a subspace of $(T_xF \times \mathbb{R}) \oplus (T^*_xF \times \mathbb{R})$, we have that

$$(L_F)_x \subseteq \{(u, \lambda) + (i_u\Phi_F(x) + \lambda\,\eta_F(x), -\eta_F(x)(u)) \,/\, (u, \lambda) \in T_xF \times \mathbb{R}\}.$$

But, since $dim\,(L_F)_x = dim\,F + 1$, we deduce that

$$(L_F)_x = \{(u, \lambda) + (i_u\Phi_F(x) + \lambda\,\eta_F(x), -\eta_F(x)(u)) \,/\, (u, \lambda) \in T_xF \times \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Thus,

$$\Gamma(L_F) = \{(X, f) + (i_X\Phi_F + f\,\eta_F, -i_X\eta_F) \,/\, (X, f) \in \mathfrak{X}(F) \times C^\infty(F, \mathbb{R})\}. \tag{4.7}$$

Finally, using (4.7) and the fact that L_F is a $\mathcal{E}^1(F)$-Dirac structure, we conclude that (see Section 2.3, Example 3),

$$\Phi_F = d\eta_F \tag{4.8}$$

and $L_F = L_{\eta_F}$.

ii) Assume that $ker\,(\rho_{L|L_{x_0}}) \subseteq < \phi_L(x_0) >^\circ$. Then, from Theorem 3.2, we obtain that $ker\,(\rho_{L|L_x}) \subseteq < \phi_L(x) >^\circ$, for all $x \in F$. This implies that the map

$$\varphi_x = pr_{1|(\rho_L, \phi_L)(L_x)} : (\rho_L, \phi_L)(L_x) \to \rho_L(L_x) = T_xF$$

is a linear isomorphism, for all $x \in F$, where $pr_1 : T_xF \times \mathbb{R} \to T_xF$ is the projection onto the first factor.

Therefore, Ψ_L induces a 2-form Ω_F on F which is characterized by the condition

$$\Omega_F(x)(\rho_L((e_1)_x), \rho_L((e_2)_x)) = \Psi_L(x)((\rho_L, \phi_L)((e_1)_x), (\rho_L, \phi_L)((e_2)_x)), \tag{4.9}$$

for $x \in F$ and $(e_1)_x, (e_2)_x \in L_x$.

Moreover, since $S_F^{\phi_L} = F$, Theorem 3.2 allows us to introduce the closed 1-form ω_F on F characterized by (3.5).

Now, suppose that $(u, \lambda) + (\alpha, \mu) \in (\hat{L}_F)_x = L_x$, with $x \in F$. From (2.1), (2.9), (3.5), (4.4) and (4.9), it follows that $\lambda = -\omega_F(x)(u)$ and that $\alpha_{|T_xF} = i_u\Omega_F(x) + \mu\, \omega_F(x)$. In other words, if we consider $(L_F)_x$ to be a subspace of $(T_xF \times \mathbb{R}) \oplus (T_x^*F \times \mathbb{R})$ then, since $dim\, (L_F)_x = dim\, F + 1$, we deduce that

$$(L_F)_x = \{(u, -\omega_F(x)(u)) + (i_u\Omega_F(x) + \mu\, \omega_F(x), \mu) \,/\, (u, \mu) \in T_xF \times \mathbb{R})\}.$$

Thus,

$$\Gamma(L_F) = \{(X, -\omega_F(X)) + (i_X\Omega_F + f\, \omega_F, f) \,/\, (X, f) \in \mathfrak{X}(F) \times C^\infty(F, \mathbb{R})\}. \tag{4.10}$$

Finally, using that ω_F is closed, (4.10) and the fact that L_F is a $\mathcal{E}^1(F)$-Dirac structure, we conclude that the pair (Ω_F, ω_F) is a l.c.p. structure on F (see Section 2.3, Example 2) and that $L_F = L_{(\Omega_F, \omega_F)}$. $\boxed{QED}$

Examples 4.3 1.- Dirac structures

Let $\tilde{L} \subseteq TM \oplus T^*M$ be a Dirac structure on M and L be the $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure associated with $\tilde{L}$ (see (2.10)). We know that the characteristic foliations $\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{L}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_L$ associated with $\tilde{L}$ and L, respectively, coincide (see Section 2.3, Example 1). Thus, if $\tilde{F}$ is a leaf of $\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{L}}$ then, using Theorem 4.2 and the fact that the 1-cocycle ϕ_L identically vanishes, it follows that $\tilde{F}$ carries an induced l.c.p. structure $(\Omega_{\tilde{F}}, \omega_{\tilde{F}})$. Moreover, from the definition of $\omega_{\tilde{F}}$ (see (3.5)), we obtain that $\omega_{\tilde{F}} = 0$, that is, $\Omega_{\tilde{F}}$ is a presymplectic form on $\tilde{F}$. Therefore, we deduce a well-known result (see [2]): the leaves of the characteristic foliation $\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{L}}$ are presymplectic manifolds.

2.- Locally conformal presymplectic structures

Let (Ω, ω) be a l.c.p. structure on a manifold M and $L_{(\Omega,\omega)}$ be the corresponding $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure (see (2.13)). It is clear that $\mathcal{F}_{L_{(\Omega,\omega)}}(x) = T_xM$, for all $x \in M$, and thus there is only one leaf of the foliation $\mathcal{F}_{L_{(\Omega,\omega)}}$, namely, M. Besides, since $ker\, (\rho_{L_{(\Omega,\omega)}|(L_{(\Omega,\omega)})_x}) \subseteq < \phi_{L_{(\Omega,\omega)}}(x) >^\circ$, for all $x \in M$ (see Section 2.3, Example 2), M carries an induced l.c.p. structure which is just (Ω, ω).

3.- Precontact structures

Let η be a precontact structure on a manifold M and denote by L_η the corresponding $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure (see (2.15)). As in the case of a l.c.p. structure, there is only one leaf of the characteristic foliation $\mathcal{F}_{L_\eta}$: the manifold M. In addition, since

$ker\,(\rho_{L_\eta|(L_\eta)_x}) \not\subseteq < \phi_{L_\eta}(x) >^\circ$, for all $x \in M$ (see Section 2.3, Example 3), M carries an induced precontact structure. Such a structure is defined by the 1-form η.

4.- Jacobi structures

Suppose that (Λ, E) is a Jacobi structure on a manifold M and let $L_{(\Lambda,E)}$ be the corresponding $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure. We know that the characteristic foliation $\mathcal{F}_{L_{(\Lambda,E)}}$ of $L_{(\Lambda,E)}$ is just the characteristic foliation associated with the Jacobi structure (Λ, E) (see Section 2.3, Example 4). Moreover, using that the Lie algebroid $(L_{(\Lambda,E)}, [\, ,\,]_{L_{(\Lambda,E)}}, \rho_{L_{(\Lambda,E)}})$ can be identified with the Lie algebroid $(T^*M \times \mathbb{R}, [\![\, ,\,]\!]_{(\Lambda,E)}, \widetilde{\#}_{(\Lambda,E)})$ and that, under this identification, the 1-cocycle $\phi_{L_{(\Lambda,E)}}$ is the pair $(-E, 0)$, we obtain that if x_0 is a point of M then

$$ker\,(\rho_{L_{(\Lambda,E)}|(L_{(\Lambda,E)})_{x_0}}) \subseteq < \phi_{L_{(\Lambda,E)}}(x_0) >^\circ \iff E(x_0) \in \#_\Lambda(T^*_{x_0}M). \tag{4.11}$$

Thus, if F is the leaf of $\mathcal{F}_{L_{(\Lambda,E)}}$ passing through $x_0 \in M$ and $E(x_0) \in \#_\Lambda(T^*_{x_0}M)$, from (4.11) and Theorem 4.2, it follows that F carries an induced l.c.p. structure (Ω_F, ω_F). In fact, using (2.1), (3.5), (4.4) and (4.9), we have that

$$\Omega_F(y)(\#_\Lambda(\alpha_1), \#_\Lambda(\alpha_2)) = \alpha_1(\#_\Lambda(\alpha_2)), \quad \omega_F(y)(\#_\Lambda(\alpha_1)) = \alpha_1(E(y)),$$

for all $y \in F$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in T^*_yM$. Therefore, the pair $(-\Omega_F, \omega_F)$ is the locally conformal symplectic structure on F induced by the Jacobi structure (Λ, E).

On the other hand, if F is the leaf of $\mathcal{F}_{L(\Lambda,E)}$ passing through $x_0 \in M$ and $E(x_0) \notin \#_\Lambda(T^*_{x_0}M)$ then, from (4.11) and Theorem 4.2, we obtain that the $\mathcal{E}^1(F)$-Dirac structure comes from a precontact structure η_F on F. In addition, $E(y) \notin \#_\Lambda(T^*_yM)$ and $T_yF = \#_\Lambda(T^*_yM) \oplus < E(y) >$, for all $y \in F$. Moreover, using (2.1), (4.4) and (4.6), we get that

$$\eta_F(y)(\#_\Lambda(\alpha) + \lambda\, E(y)) = -\lambda,$$

for all $y \in F$, $\alpha \in T^*_yM$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Consequently, $-\eta_F$ is the contact structure on F induced by the Jacobi structure (Λ, E).

In conclusion, we deduce a well-known result (see [9, 15]): the leaves of the characteristic foliation of a Jacobi manifold are contact or locally conformal symplectic manifolds.

5.- Homogeneous Poisson structures

Let (M, Π, Z) be a homogeneous Poisson manifold and $L_{(\Pi,Z)}$ be the corresponding $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure (see (2.17)). Using that the Lie algebroid $(L_{(\Pi,Z)}, [\, ,\,]_{L_{(\Pi,Z)}}, \rho_{L_{(\Pi,Z)}})$ can be identified with the Lie algebroid $(T^*M \times \mathbb{R}, [\![\, ,\,]\!]_{(\Pi,Z)}, \widetilde{\#}_{(\Pi,Z)})$ and that, under this identification, the 1-cocycle $\phi_{L_{(\Pi,Z)}}$ is the pair $(0, 1)$ (see Section 2.3, Example 5), we obtain that if x_0 is a point of M then

$$ker\,(\rho_{L_{(\Pi,Z)}|(L_{(\Pi,Z)})_{x_0}}) \subseteq < \phi_{L_{(\Pi,Z)}}(x_0) >^\circ \iff Z(x_0) \notin \#_\Pi(T^*_{x_0}M). \tag{4.12}$$

Thus, if x_0 is a point of M and F is the leaf of the characteristic foliation $\mathcal{F}_{L_{(\Pi,Z)}}$ passing through x_0, we will distinguish two cases:

a) $Z(x_0) \in \#_\Pi(T^*_{x_0}M)$. In such a case, from (2.19), (4.12) and Theorem 3.2, it follows that $T_yF = \mathcal{F}_{L_{(\Pi,Z)}}(y) = \mathcal{F}_\Pi(y)$, for all $y \in F$, where $\mathcal{F}_\Pi$ is the symplectic foliation of the Poisson manifold (M, Π). Therefore, F is the leaf of $\mathcal{F}_\Pi$ passing through x_0. In addition, using Theorem 4.2, we deduce that the induced $\mathcal{E}^1(F)$-Dirac structure comes from a precontact structure η_F on F. Moreover, from (2.1), (4.4), (4.6) and (4.8), we have that

$$\eta_F(y)(\#_\Pi(\alpha_1)) = -\alpha_1(Z(y)), \quad d\eta_F(y)(\#_\Pi(\alpha_1), \#_\Pi(\alpha_2)) = \alpha_1(\#_\Pi(\alpha_2)),$$

for all $y \in F$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in T^*_yM$. This implies that $d\eta_F$ is, up to sign, the symplectic 2-form of F.

b) $Z(x_0) \notin \#_\Pi(T^*_{x_0}M)$. In such a case, from (2.19) and (4.12), we get that $T_yF = \mathcal{F}_{L_{(\Pi,Z)}}(y) = \mathcal{F}_\Pi(y) \oplus < Z(y) >$, for all $y \in F$. Consequently, the dimension of F is odd and the leaf F_Π of the foliation $\mathcal{F}_\Pi$ passing through x_0 is a submanifold of F of codimension one. Furthermore, the induced $\mathcal{E}^1(F)$-Dirac structure comes from a l.c.p. structure (Ω_F, ω_F) on F and, using (2.1), (3.5), (4.4) and (4.9), it follows that

$$\begin{gathered}\Omega_F(y)(\#_\Pi(\alpha_1) + \lambda_1\, Z(y), \#_\Pi(\alpha_2) + \lambda_2\, Z(y)) = \alpha_1(\#_\Pi(\alpha_2)),\\ \omega_F(y)(\#_\Pi(\alpha_1) + \lambda_1\, Z(y)) = \lambda_1,\end{gathered}$$

for all $y \in F$, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in T^*_yM$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that if $i : F_\Pi \to F$ is the canonical inclusion, we deduce that $i^*\omega_F = 0$ and that $-i^*\Omega_F$ is the symplectic 2-form on F_Π. Thus, if the dimension of F is $2n+1$, we obtain that $\omega_F \wedge \Omega_F^n = \omega_F \wedge \Omega_F \wedge \overset{(n}{\ldots} \wedge \Omega_F$ is a volume form on F.

5 Dirac structure associated with a $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure and characteristic foliations

Let M be a differentiable manifold and L be a vector sub-bundle of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$.

We consider the vector sub-bundle $\tilde{L}$ of $T(M \times \mathbb{R}) \oplus T^*(M \times \mathbb{R})$ such that the fiber $\tilde{L}_{(x,t)}$ of $\tilde{L}$ over $(x,t) \in M \times \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$\tilde{L}_{(x,t)} = \Big\{ \Big(u + \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial t}_{|t}\Big) + e^t\Big(\alpha + \mu\, dt_{|t}\Big) \,/\, (u,\lambda) + (\alpha,\mu) \in L_x \Big\}, \tag{5.1}$$

where L_x is the fiber of L over x. Note that the linear map $\psi_{(x,t)} : L_x \to \tilde{L}_{(x,t)}$ given by

$$\psi_{(x,t)}((u,\lambda) + (\alpha,\mu)) = (u + \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial t}_{|t}) + e^t(\alpha + \mu\, dt_{|t}), \tag{5.2}$$

is an isomorphism of vector spaces, for all $(x,t) \in M \times \mathbb{R}$. Using this fact, (2.3) and (2.11), we deduce the following result.

Proposition 5.1 *L is a $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure if and only if $\tilde{L}$ is a Dirac structure on $M \times \mathbb{R}$.*

Now, suppose that L is a $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure and denote by $(L, [\, ,\,]_L, \rho_L)$ the associated Lie algebroid and by ϕ_L the 1-cocycle of $(L, [\, ,\,]_L, \rho_L)$ given by (2.9). Then, we may consider the Lie algebroid structure $([\, ,\,]_L^{-\phi_L}, \bar{\rho}_L^{\phi_L})$ defined by (3.1) on the vector bundle $\bar{L} = L \times \mathbb{R} \to M \times \mathbb{R}$.

On the other hand, let $\tilde{L}$ be the Dirac structure on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ associated with L, $(\tilde{L}, [\, ,\,]_{\tilde{L}}, \tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{L}})$ be the corresponding Lie algebroid over $M \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{L}}$ be the characteristic foliation of $\tilde{L}$ (see Section 2.3, Example 1).

It is clear that the linear maps $\psi_{(x,t)}$, $(x,t) \in M \times \mathbb{R}$, induce an isomorphism of vector bundles $\tilde{\psi}$ between $\bar{L}$ and $\tilde{L}$. Moreover, we have

Lemma 5.2 *The map $\tilde{\psi}$ is an isomorphism of Lie algebroids over the identity, that is,*

$$\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{L}}(\tilde{\psi}(\bar{e}_1)) = \bar{\rho}_L^{\phi_L}(\bar{e}_1), \quad \tilde{\psi}[\bar{e}_1, \bar{e}_2]_L^{-\phi_L} = [\tilde{\psi}(\bar{e}_1), \tilde{\psi}(\bar{e}_2)]_{\tilde{L}}, \tag{5.3}$$

for $\bar{e}_1, \bar{e}_2 \in \Gamma(\bar{L})$. Thus, the characteristic foliation $\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{L}}$ of the Dirac structure $\tilde{L}$ coincides with the Lie algebroid foliation $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{L}}$.

Proof: Using (2.2), (2.3), (2.9), (2.11), (2.12), (3.1) and (5.2), we deduce that (5.3) hold. In addition, from (5.3), it follows that $\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{L}}(x,t) = \mathcal{F}_{\bar{L}}(x,t)$, for all $(x,t) \in M \times \mathbb{R}$. $\boxed{QED}$

Now, assume that $\tilde{F}$ is a leaf of the foliation $\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{L}} = \mathcal{F}_{\bar{L}}$. Then, we know that $\tilde{F}$ is a presymplectic manifold with presymplectic 2-form $\Omega_{\tilde{F}}$ characterized by the condition

$$\Omega_{\tilde{F}}(x,t)(\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{L}}((\tilde{e}_1)_{(x,t)}), \tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{L}}((\tilde{e}_2)_{(x,t)})) = < (\tilde{e}_1)_{(x,t)}, (\tilde{e}_2)_{(x,t)} >_-, \tag{5.4}$$

for all $(x,t) \in M \times \mathbb{R}$ and $(\tilde{e}_1)_{(x,t)}, (\tilde{e}_2)_{(x,t)} \in \tilde{L}_{(x,t)}$, where $<\, ,\, >_-$ is the natural skew-symmetric pairing on $T_{(x,t)}(M \times \mathbb{R}) \oplus T^*_{(x,t)}(M \times \mathbb{R})$ (see [2] and Examples 4.3).

Next, we will discuss the relation between the leaves of $\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{L}}$ and the leaves of the characteristic foliation $\mathcal{F}_L$ associated with L. In addition, we will describe the relation between the induced structures on them.

Theorem 5.3 *Let L be a $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure and $\tilde{L}$ be the Dirac structure on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ associated with L. Suppose that $(x_0, t_0) \in M \times \mathbb{R}$ and that F and $\tilde{F}$ are the leaves of $\mathcal{F}_L$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{L}}$ passing through x_0 and (x_0, t_0), respectively. Then:*

i) If F is a precontact leaf we have that $\tilde{F} = F \times \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, if η_F is the precontact structure on F,

$$\Omega_{\tilde{F}} = e^t\Big((\pi_{1|\tilde{F}})^*(d\eta_F) + dt \wedge (\pi_{1|\tilde{F}})^*(\eta_F)\Big),$$

where $\pi_{1|\tilde{F}} : \tilde{F} \to F$ is the restriction to $\tilde{F}$ of the canonical projection $\pi_1 : M \times \mathbb{R} \to M$.

ii) If F is a l.c.p. leaf and (Ω_F, ω_F) is the l.c.p. structure on F then $\pi_1(\tilde{F}) = F$, $\pi_{1|\tilde{F}} : \tilde{F} \to F$ is a covering map and $\tilde{F}$ is diffeomorphic to a Galois covering of F associated with ω_F. Furthermore, if $i_{\tilde{F}} : \tilde{F} \to M \times \mathbb{R}$ is the canonical inclusion and $\tilde{\sigma} \in C^\infty(\tilde{F}, \mathbb{R})$ is the function given by $\tilde{\sigma} = -(i_{\tilde{F}})^*(t)$, we have that

$$d\tilde{\sigma} = (\pi_{1|\tilde{F}})^*(\omega_F), \quad \Omega_{\tilde{F}} = e^{-\tilde{\sigma}}(\pi_{1|\tilde{F}})^*(\Omega_F).$$

Proof: i) Since F is a precontact leaf, it follows that $ker\,(\rho_{L|L_{x_0}}) \not\subseteq < \phi_L(x_0) >^\circ$ (see Theorem 4.2). Thus, from Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 5.2, we deduce that $\tilde{F} = F \times \mathbb{R}$.

On the other hand, if $(x,t) \in \tilde{F}$, $(\tilde{e}_i)_{(x,t)} \in \tilde{L}_{(x,t)}$, $i \in \{1,2\}$, and $(\tilde{e}_i)_{(x,t)} = (u_i + \lambda_i \frac{\partial}{\partial t}_{|t}) + e^t(\alpha_i + \mu_i dt_{|t})$, with $(u_i, \lambda_i) + (\alpha_i, \mu_i) \in L_x$ then, using (4.4), (4.6), (4.8) and (5.4), we get

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Omega_{\tilde{F}}(x,t)(\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{L}}((\tilde{e}_1)_{(x,t)}), \tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{L}}((\tilde{e}_2)_{(x,t)})) & = & \frac{1}{2}e^t(\alpha_1(u_2) + \lambda_2\mu_1 - \alpha_2(u_1) - \mu_2\lambda_1) \\ & = & e^t\Big((\pi_{1|\tilde{F}})^*(d\eta_F) + dt \wedge (\pi_{1|\tilde{F}})^*(\eta_F)\Big)(x,t)(\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{L}}((\tilde{e}_1)_{(x,t)}), \tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{L}}((\tilde{e}_2)_{(x,t)})). \end{array}$$

This implies that $\Omega_{\tilde{F}} = e^t\Big((\pi_{1|\tilde{F}})^*(d\eta_F) + dt \wedge (\pi_{1|\tilde{F}})^*(\eta_F)\Big)$.

ii) If F is a l.c.p. leaf then $ker\,(\rho_{L|L_{x_0}}) \subseteq < \phi_L(x_0) >^\circ$ (see Theorem 4.2). Therefore, from Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 5.2, we obtain that $\pi_1(\tilde{F}) = F$, that $\pi_{1|\tilde{F}} : \tilde{F} \to F$ is a covering map, that $\tilde{F}$ is diffeomorphic to a Galois covering of F associated with ω_F and that $d\tilde{\sigma} = (\pi_{1|\tilde{F}})^*(\omega_F)$.

Finally, if $(x,t) \in \tilde{F}$, $(\tilde{e}_i)_{(x,t)} \in \tilde{L}_{(x,t)}$, $i \in \{1,2\}$, and $(\tilde{e}_i)_{(x,t)} = (u_i + \lambda_i \frac{\partial}{\partial t}_{|t}) + e^t(\alpha_i + \mu_i dt_{|t})$, with $(u_i, \lambda_i) + (\alpha_i, \mu_i) \in L_x$ then, using (4.4), (4.9), (5.4) and the definition of $\tilde{\sigma}$, we deduce

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Omega_{\tilde{F}}(x,t)(\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{L}}((\tilde{e}_1)_{(x,t)}), \tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{L}}((\tilde{e}_2)_{(x,t)})) & = & \frac{1}{2}e^t(\alpha_1(u_2) + \lambda_2\mu_1 - \alpha_2(u_1) - \mu_2\lambda_1) \\ & = & (e^{-\tilde{\sigma}}(\pi_{1|\tilde{F}})^*(\Omega_F))(x,t)(\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{L}}((\tilde{e}_1)_{(x,t)}), \tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{L}}((\tilde{e}_2)_{(x,t)})). \end{array}$$

This implies that $\Omega_{\tilde{F}} = e^{-\tilde{\sigma}}(\pi_{1|\tilde{F}})^*(\Omega_F)$. $\boxed{QED}$

Examples 5.4 1.- Dirac structures

Let L be a $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure which comes from a Dirac structure on M and $\tilde{L}$ be the associated Dirac structure on $M \times \mathbb{R}$. If x_0 is a point of M and F is the leaf of the characteristic foliation $\mathcal{F}_L$ passing through x_0, then F is a presymplectic manifold with presymplectic 2-form Ω_F (see Examples 4.3). Moreover, since $\mathcal{F}_L(x) = \mathcal{F}_{\tilde{L}}(x,t)$, for all $(x,t) \in M \times \mathbb{R}$, we deduce that the leaf $\tilde{F}$ of $\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{L}}$ passing through $(x_0, t_0) \in M \times \mathbb{R}$ is $\tilde{F} = F \times \{t_0\}$. In addition, from Theorem 5.3, it follows that $\Omega_{\tilde{F}} = e^{t_0}\Omega_F$.

2.- Precontact structures

Let η be a precontact structure on a manifold M and L_η be the associated $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure. Then, the characteristic foliation $\mathcal{F}_{L_\eta}$ has a unique leaf, the manifold M (see Examples 4.3). Furthermore, if $\tilde{L}_\eta$ is the Dirac structure on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ associated with L_η, we obtain that $\tilde{L}_\eta$ is the graph of the presymplectic 2-form $\tilde{\Omega}$ on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\tilde{\Omega} = e^t((\pi_1)^*(d\eta) + dt \wedge (\pi_1)^*\eta).$$

In other words,

$$\Gamma(\tilde{L}_\eta) = \{\tilde{X} + i_{\tilde{X}}\tilde{\Omega} \,/\, \tilde{X} \in \mathfrak{X}(M \times \mathbb{R})\} \subseteq \mathfrak{X}(M \times \mathbb{R}) \oplus \Omega^1(M \times \mathbb{R}).$$

On the other hand, using Theorem 5.3, we deduce a well-known result (see [2]): the characteristic foliation $\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{L}_\eta}$ of $\tilde{L}_\eta$ also has a unique leaf $\tilde{F}$ (the manifold $M \times \mathbb{R}$) and the presymplectic 2-form $\Omega_{\tilde{F}}$ on $\tilde{F}$ is just $\tilde{\Omega}$.

3.- Jacobi structures

Suppose that (Λ, E) is a Jacobi structure on a manifold M. Then, it is well-known that the 2-vector $\tilde{\Lambda}$ on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ given by $\tilde{\Lambda} = e^{-t}(\Lambda + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \wedge E)$ defines a Poisson structure on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ (see [18]). Thus, one may consider the Dirac structure $\tilde{L}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}$ on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ associated with $\tilde{\Lambda}$ (see [2]). In fact, we have that

$$\Gamma(\tilde{L}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}) = \{\#_{\tilde{\Lambda}}(\tilde{\alpha}) + \tilde{\alpha} \,/\, \tilde{\alpha} \in \Omega^1(M \times \mathbb{R})\}.$$

Moreover, if $L_{(\Lambda,E)}$ is the $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$-Dirac structure induced by the Jacobi structure (Λ, E), it is easy to prove that the Dirac structure $\tilde{L}_{(\Lambda,E)}$ on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ associated with $L_{(\Lambda,E)}$ is isomorphic to $\tilde{L}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}$. Therefore, using Theorem 5.3 (see also Examples 4.3), we directly deduce the results of Guedira-Lichnerowicz (see Section III.16 in [9]) about the relation between the leaves of the characteristic foliation of the Jacobi manifold (M, Λ, E) and the leaves of the symplectic foliation of the Poisson manifold $(M \times \mathbb{R}, \widetilde{\Lambda})$.

Acknowledgments. Research partially supported by DGICYT grant BFM2000-0808 (Spain). D. Iglesias wishes to thank Spanish Ministerio de Educación y Cultura for a FPU grant.

References

[1] K.H. Bhaskara, K.Viswanath: *Poisson algebras and Poisson manifolds*, Research Notes in Mathematics, 174, Pitman, London, 1988.

[2] T.J. Courant: Dirac manifolds, *Trans. A.M.S.* **319** (1990), 631-661.

[3] T.J. Courant, A. Weinstein: Beyond Poisson structures, In séminaire Sud-Rhodanien de geométrie, Travaux en Cours, **27**, Hermann, Paris, 1988, 39-49.

[4] P. Dazord, A. Lichnerowicz and Ch.M. Marle: Structure locale des variétés de Jacobi, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **70** (1991), 101-152.

[5] P.A.M. Dirac: *Lectures on Quamtum Mechanics*, Yeshiva Univ., New York, 1964.

[6] I. Dorfman: *Dirac structures and integrability of nonlinear evolution equations*, Nonlinear Science: Theory and Applications. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 1993.

[7] C. Godbillon: *Éléments de topologie algébrique*, Hermann, Paris, 1971.

[8] W. Greub, S. Halperin, R. Vanstone: *Connections, curvature and cohomology. Vol. I*, Pure and Applied Mathematics 47, Academic Press, New York-London, 1972.

[9] F. Guédira, A. Lichnerowicz: Géométries des algébres de Lie locales de Kirillov, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **63** (1984), 407-484.

[10] P.J. Higgins, K.C.H. Mackenzie: Algebraic constructions in the category of Lie algebroids, *J. Algebra*, **129** (1990), 194-230.

[11] D. Iglesias, J.C. Marrero: Some linear Jacobi structures on vector bundles, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **331** Sér. I (2000), 125-130. *arXiv: math.DG/0007138.*

[12] D. Iglesias, J.C. Marrero: Generalized Lie bialgebroids and Jacobi structures, *To appear in J. Geom. Phys.* (2001) *arXiv: math.DG/0008105.*

[13] D. Iglesias, J.C. Marrero: Generalized Lie bialgebras and Jacobi structures on Lie groups, *Preprint (2001), arXiv: math.DG/0102171.*

[14] Y. Kerbrat, Z.Souici-Benhammadi: Variétés de Jacobi et groupoïdes de contact, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **317** Sér. I (1993), 81-86.

[15] A. Kirillov: Local Lie algebras, *Russian Math. Surveys*, **31** (1976), 55-75.

[16] P. Libermann, Ch. M. Marle: *Symplectic Geometry and Analytical Mechanics*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1987.

[17] A. Lichnerowicz: Les variétés de Poisson et leurs algébres de Lie associées, *J. Differential Geometry*, **12** (1977), 253-300.

[18] A. Lichnerowicz: Les variétés de Jacobi et leurs algébres de Lie associées, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **57** (1978), 453-488.

[19] Z-J. Liu, A. Weinstein, P. Xu: Manin triples for Lie bialgebroids, *J. Differential Geom.*, **45** (1997), 547-574.

[20] Z-J. Liu, A. Weinstein, P. Xu: Dirac structures and Poisson homogeneous spaces, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **192** (1998), 121-144.

[21] K. Mackenzie: *Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids in differential geometry*, Cambridge University Press, 1987.

[22] K. Mackenzie, P. Xu: Lie bialgebroids and Poisson groupoids, *Duke Math. J.*, **73** (1994), 415-452.

[23] T. Mokri: Matched pairs of Lie algebroids, *Glasgow Math. J.*, **39** (1997), 167-181.

[24] Ngô-van-Quê: Sur l'espace de prolongement différentiable, *J. Differential Geometry*, **2** (1968), 33-40.

[25] D. Roytenberg, A. Weinstein: Courant algebroids and strongly homotopy Lie algebras, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, **46** (1998), 81-93.

[26] H. Sussman: Orbits of families of vector fields and integrability of distributions, *Trans. A.M.S.* **180** (1973), 171-188.

[27] I. Vaisman: Locally conformal symplectic manifolds, *Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci.*, **8** (1985), 521-536.

[28] I. Vaisman: *Lectures on the Geometry of Poisson Manifolds*, Progress in Math. 118, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1994.

[29] I. Vaisman: The BV-algebra of a Jacobi manifold, *Ann. Polon. Math.*, **73** (2000), 275-290. *arXiv: math.DG/ 9904112.*

[30] A. Wade: Conformal Dirac Structures, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, **53** (2000), 331-348. *arXiv: math.SG/ 0101181.*

[31] A. Weinstein: The local structure of Poisson manifolds, *J. Differential Geometry*, **18** (1983), 523-557, Errata et Addenda **22** (1985), 255.